

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,524	06/28/2001	Rafael A. Mena	TI-29612	8193	
23494	7590 10/26/2004		EXAMINER		
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			FOURSON III, GEORGE R		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999		DA DED AND ADED			
DALLAS, TX	75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2823		

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, -
	09/895,524	MENA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George Fourson	2823	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	9SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	0 September 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 3	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	·	·	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ı(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			,
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National St	tage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)

Art Unit: 2823

The finality of the office action mailed 5/19/04 is withdrawn due to the inadvertent omission of rejections of pending claims. The omitted rejections are included below.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1,3,5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in combination with Ngo et al and Yao et al.

The rejection is maintained as stated in the paper mailed 5/19/04.

Applicant argues that there is no disclosure in the references of forming both a HDP liner layer having sloped edges as recited and a gap-fill layer over the liner layer. However, Ngo et al discloses formation of HDP oxide 50, which is an HDP liner layer, and gap fill layer formed using TEOS over the layer 50 (col.5, lines 13-17).

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in combination with Ngo et al '263 and Yao et al '564 as applied to claims 1,3,5 and 12 above, and further in view of the following comment.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to the recited gate thickness, and thereby the recited angle of inclination of the surface of the gap-fill layer through routine experimentation to achieve a desired device density and desired device properties on the finished wafer.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,524

Art Unit: 2823

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in combination with Ngo et al '263 and Yao et al '564 as applied to claims 1,3,5 and 12 above, and further in view of Bothra et al '102.

Bothra et al is applied as stated in the paper mailed 8/29/03 as providing motivation to enable the formation of liner layer 14 of the process of the combination to be performed according to the teachings of Bothra et al.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in combination with Ngo et al '263 and Yao et al '564 as applied to claims 1,3,5 and 12 above, and further in view of Aug et al.

Aug et al is applied as stated in the paper mailed 8/29/03 as providing motivation to enable the formation of liner layer 14 of the process of the combination to be performed according to the teachings of Aug et al.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in combination with Ngo et al '263 and Yao et al '564 as applied to claims 1,3,5 and 12 above, and further in view of Tsai et al.

Tsai et al is applied as stated in the paper mailed 8/29/03 as providing motivation to enable the formation of liner layer 14 of the process of the combination to be performed according to the teachings of Tsai et al.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in combination with Ngo et al '263 and Yao et al '564 as applied to claims 1,3,5 and 12 above, and further in view of Wolf.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,524

Art Unit: 2823

Wolf is applied as stated in the paper mailed 8/29/03 as providing motivation to enable the formation of liner layer 14 of the process of the combination to be performed according to the teachings of Wolf et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Fourson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2544. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on 703-571-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Fourson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2823

GFourson October 21, 2004